

MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE MEETING
OF THE EAST PETERSBURG BOROUGH COUNCIL

DATE AND TIME:	January 25, 2024	6:00 p.m.
LOCATION:	Community Center	6051 Pine Street
ATTENDANCE:	Council Members:	Debra Miller, President John Schick, Vice-President Adam Gochnauer, Pro Tem John Herr Randy Rannels William Sharp Sandra Valdez Mayor: James Malone Borough Manager: Karen St. Clair Borough Solicitor: Amy Leonard Borough Engineer: Michael Bingham

Meeting was called to order: 6:00 p.m.

Moment of Silence / Pledge to Flag

Hearing was called to order for short-term Rentals: 6:01 p.m.

Amy Leonard, Borough Solicitor said this is the public hearing for zoning ordinance 345, and also a short term rental ordinance 325. The discussion of short term rentals started in January 2023. The discussion arose because there was some confusion about what is a bed and breakfast and what is a short term rental. Looking at the zoning ordinance it became clear that there was not a specific definition or regulations for short term rentals. Generally, the difference between short-term rentals and bed and breakfast is that bed and breakfast is an owner occupied property where they are renting out, on a short term basis, a room or space that does not contain cooking facilities. Ms. Leonard said what we have come to know as a traditional short term rental does not need to be owner occupied and a lot of time is not owner occupied and generally gives the renter full reign of the living facilities including cooking facilities. Short-term rentals are less than a 30-day period. At the 04/27/2023 committee meeting, council discussed regulating short-term rentals and authorized Ms. Leonard to draft regulations for councils' consideration. The draft zoning amendments and a draft short term rental ordinance were presented to council at the 05/25/2023 meeting. The zoning amendments included both short-term rental regulations and also an amendment for the storage of RV's, boats, and trailers on private property, specifically in regard to fencing/screening requirements. The proposed amendment was discussed in detail at the 06/22/2023 council committee meeting. At the 07/05/2023 council meeting, council authorized submitting the draft ordinances to the borough's planning commission for review and recommendation. The East Petersburg Planning Commission met on 07/20/2023 and issued letters to the borough manager dated 07/24/2023, and they offered proposed revisions to the draft zoning ordinance amendments relating to the provision about the storage of RV's, boats, and trailers on private property. Planning Commission recommended approval of the draft zoning amendments with their revisions. The planning commission also offered proposed revisions to the short term rental ordinance and also recommended approval of that ordinance with some minor revisions. On 08/02/2023, borough council approved incorporating the planning commissions proposed revisions into the draft ordinances then authorized submission to the Lancaster County Planning Commission. The draft zoning ordinances were submitted to the LCPC on 10/24/2023. On 10/30/2023, the borough was notified that the proposed amendments would be reviewed by the LCPC at their 12/11/2023 meeting. The borough received a memorandum from the Lancaster County Planning Department on 11/30/2023, indicating that because the zoning ordinance text amendment was minor in nature that the review was delegated to the Lancaster County Planning Department staff, and staff had reviewed the zoning amendments and recommended approval. The Lancaster County Planning Department evaluated the amendment pursuant to the policies set forth in places 2040, which is the Lancaster County 2018 comprehensive plan, and they noted that the amendments proposed by the borough were consistent with places 2040 policies of creating great places. She read the comment provided "Creating great places provides a greater supply and diversity of housing types to own and rent, restricting short-term rentals to primarily business zoning districts could curtail the loss of traditional residential housing to short-term rental use, create opportunities to preserve the existing housing supply and primarily residential districts, and direct short-term renters and visitors toward support of local

businesses. Effective regulatory standards included in the proposed amendments will likely ensure that the borough's existing and future short-term rental facilities will not impact the overall quality of life for both visitors and residents of the region. There were no revisions proposed to the zoning amendment by the Lancaster County Planning Dept. On 12/05/2023 borough council approved advertisement for a public hearing and advertisement for the adoption of the proposed zoning amendments contingent upon the outcome of the 12/11/2023 LCPC meeting. The LCPC did acknowledge the Lancaster County Planning Dept. staff's review of the zoning amendment and recommendation at its 12/11/2023 meeting. The public notice indicating the public hearing would be held today on 01/25/2024 was published in LNP Lancaster online on 01/10/2024 and 01/17/2024. The public notice further stated that council would act on Ordinances 324 and 325 at its 02/06/2024 meeting. The ordinances were transmitted to the Lancaster County Law Library for public view. All the prerequisites for the public hearing have been met. Amy Leonard turned the hearing back over to the Council President for any comments/questions from the public.

President Miller asked for Comments/Questions from the public on Ordinance No. 324 and 325: There were None.

Adjourn Hearing: 6:08 p.m.

Call the regular committee meeting to order: 6:08 p.m.

Visitors: Tom Arnold, Kristin Troop, Tammy & Jeff Moseman, Pashk & Amanda Reilly-Sokoli, Erin Matthews, James Swarr, Bill Trovato, Josh Roberts

I. PUBLIC COMMENTS: None

II. AGENDA ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION ONLY:

1. Amanda Reilly-Sokoli & Pashk Sokoli– Pennsylvania Furniture Mission

Deb Miller met Amanda Reilly-Sokoli & Pashk Sokoli last month and invited them to come to the meeting.

The PA Furniture Mission launched client services and donor pick-ups in June 2023, providing a new model for furnishing homes for people experiencing economic hardships and/or exiting homelessness and are looking for a fresh start. They currently offer services to six counties. Their mission is to create safe, warm, and welcoming homes across Central PA for families and individuals by welcoming them and providing an entire household of free gently used furniture. This is a furniture bank, and this means they work with various services providers, not just anybody can come in off the street. They are a non-profit agency. Qualifying individuals have the ability to choose what they would like and design their own space. They prefer to hire community members who might struggle to get employment because of previously being homeless, incarcerated or they do not speak good English. They work directly with the community institutions. They are also a hub for resources. Since June 2023 they launched full client service and donor pick-ups, established community connections, and have furnished over 100 homes in 6-months. They have provided 230 bed sets. By doing this they have diverted over 150+ tons of furniture from the landfills. Those who are looking to give a second life to their gently used furniture items can schedule a pick-up through their online pick-up service pennsylvaniamission.org. They do accept donations. Since June they have assisted 360 individuals through their program. In 2024, they plan to expand rapidly. They anticipate serving 40 households by the end of January. They work with numerous agencies, and they do not exclude any population. They are looking for support from the community. They currently have 5,000-6,000 sq. ft. of warehouse space in Columbia, and they are looking for 5,000 – 12,000 ft. of additional storage space to store furniture they receive from hotels, universities, and offices. Possibly someone donating use at a discounted rate for a tax write off. They anticipate needing a 2nd truck for the program by the end of Spring. This will allow them to have one truck to pick up donations and one for deliveries. People can get involved by making monetary donations or donations of gently used furniture, or by becoming a board member. They are also looking for volunteers that can help make connections in the community and skilled volunteers such as CPA, bookkeeper, administrative skills, attorneys, and also someone that can help with an audit. If they do an audit they will be able to apply for more grant funding. Randy Rannels asked how many employees they have and where they get their funding. Mrs. Reilly-Sokoli said they currently have 2 full-time employees and 1 part-time employee, and a large team of skilled volunteers. They also currently have a FT work-on loan volunteer, who is paid by their employer to be there. They hope to have 8 employees by the end of the year. They get their funding from multiple revenue streams: private donors through professional and private networks, furniture donors, and apply for grants and family foundations. They launched in 2023 with their own finances and also with the support of the United Way, who gave 10,000 through a matched funding grant. Debra Miller asked if there is an item that is needed the most.

Mrs. Reilly-Sokoli said most recipients are looking to receive the whole household package of furniture. There is a great need for this service.

2. EMC Position: 1. Tom Arnold

Tom Arnold said he is the Zoning/Enforcement Officer for N. York Borough. He recently helped create their local snow emergency program. He has been involved in public service for the 35-40 years. He served as a firefighter and then Fire Marshall for Lancaster City. He was Senior Deputy Coroner for Lancaster County. He taught criminal justice at York Technology and now is a zoning/enforcement officer. He feels it is important to find out and note people's special needs in case there is an emergency. His current work hours are 8:00 – 4:00 m-f with Wednesday afternoons off. He has flexibility with time and lives near Centerville Rd. He has lived in Lancaster all his life. He said he was told the position is 10-12 hours per month. He can be here when needed whether it is 2:00 a.m. or 2:00 p.m. He said he currently works with the EMC in York and when the EMC is not available, he steps in. Randy Rannels asked if he had all the certifications for this position. Mr. Arnold said he was told about this job by Todd Weiss, who told him he would be a good fit for this position. Mr. Arnold said he has first aid, CPR and EMT, and has no objections to getting any others. Council thanked Mr. Arnold for being there.

3. Events Committee – Kristin Troop

Kristin Troop said they had to change their carnival vendor again for this year and because of this some of the dates had to be changed for their 2024 events. They are hoping the new vending company sticks with them this time. The previous carnival company was to provide a certain number of amusement rides through the contract, and they did not follow through, so they decided to part ways and find a new company.

2024 Event dates/changes:

May 4 – Car Show w/ music/vendors/food trucks, 11:00 – 3:00 p.m.

June 1 – Yard Sale 8:00-12:00 p.m. / Chicken BBQ 11:00 – 2:00 p.m.

June 28 – Fireworks/Movie/Music & food trucks - music @ 6:00 p.m., Movie @ 8:30, fireworks after movie

July 13 – Summer Fest featuring the Uptown Band w/ beer garden & food trucks starts @ 5:30 p.m.

August 31 – East Pete Blues Fest w/ food trucks 1:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.

September 11 through 14 – wrist bands will be \$20 on weekdays and \$25 on Saturday. They will be holding some kid friendly events on Friday evening in addition to the events on Saturday.

October 12 – Pumpkin Fest (rain or shine)

December 13 & 14 – Santa in the Park; Friday 5-7 p.m. and Saturday 9-11 a.m. & 12-2 p.m.

Some dates have changed since the calendar went out in November. The dates and times will be posted on the borough's website event calendar eastpetersburgborough.org and events committee website eastpetersburgday.com.

Debra Miller thanked the Events Committee for all the hard work they do.

4. Amendment Ordinance for Ridge Avenue and Carpenter Street

Last year we received notice that there was a safety issue at the intersection of Carpenter and Ridge. ARRO was contacted and they sent out their engineer to take a look at it. Their recommendation was to extend the no parking. The amendment is to extend the no parking area along the north side of Carpenter by approximately 120 feet and 100 feet to the south on both sides. This should eliminate the problems over there. Michael Bingham said he agrees with the findings, and he would recommend going with it. John Herr asked if there will be signs put up. Manager St. Clair said yes. Council asked for notices to be given to the residents affected in that area.

5. Resolution 889, DCNR Park Grant project

To remain compliant with the guidelines of the grant we received, we have to provide a PSA which is a professional services agreement for engineering services. There is a resolution on the agenda for the agreement, along with an exhibit b which is an amendment to the PSA that we needed to do because the language is different from what DCNR requires. Michael Bingham put together the proposal and Amy Leonard reviewed it and found some minor differences between what DCNR requires and their terms of conditions. The contract amount is the allowable amount by DCNR, to be refunded by DCNR for the grant, and they will make sure they keep the amount under that, so it doesn't cost the borough any additional money. Mr. Bingham said they just had a meeting this afternoon, and it was recommended to put it out to bid this winter to get better pricing. We could have shovels in the ground by next Spring(2025). There is still some design work that needs to be done. Right now, it is just a preliminary sketch to get the grant. We still need to do details, E&S controls, design the ADA bathroom, and then put together the bid specs so they can go out to bid. He said he does not see an issue with getting this out to bid this winter.

6. Review proposals from accounting firms for auditing services

Manager St. Clair recently received 4 proposals from auditing firms.

(1) White & Rudy LLC - CPA's, came into the office with an associate for approx. 1 hour. She reviewed the processes for which he already knew because he contacted Sager Swisher. Their price came in at \$13,500.00 and gave us a quote for 3 years. Manager St. Clair felt comfortable with them and what they had to say.

(2) Bertz, Hess & Co. came in and was very similar with Sager Swisher's process. Their price came in at \$12,500.00, but this is not firm and there could be additional fees added after they come in and see what they have to do and how much time it will take. This is a larger firm and with them, we will be the little guy.

(3) Boyer & Ritter did not come in and only had a 5 minute conversation. They gave 2 different numbers: An audit of modified cash is \$21,000.00 and for just DCED it is \$15,000.00.

(4) Hamilton & Musser quoted \$26,500.00 for their first year. It was pointed out that Hamilton & Musser has been in business for over 50 years.

She felt the most comfortable with White & Rudy and her recommendation is to go with them.

Manager St. Clair said White & Rudy wanted to come in and they want to get to know the business before they even begin to audit. She feels they will give us the same service as Sager Swisher did. They are a smaller firm similar to Sager Swisher. Manager St. Clair called Alyssa with Sager Swisher and asked her about this firm. Alyssa told Manager St. Clair she would not go wrong with either but told Manager St. Clair she should feel comfortable with whoever they go with.

John Herr asked what we paid last year. Manager St. Clair said it was approx. \$12,900.00. Mr. Herr asked if it would be worth contacting Bertz & Hess to ask about exact pricing. Manager St. Clair said she did not feel they said what she wanted to hear about their auditing procedures when they came in, they just kept saying we could send them the information.

John Schick asked if White & Rudy had any municipal references. Manager St. Clair said they did not. He asked if White & Rudy would tack on other fees. Manager St. Clair said their price is firm. Sandra Valdez asked how long this is for. Manager St. Clair said the audit is scheduled for one week of their time, but last year it only took 3 days. The contract is for three years. John Schick asked for a clause to be put in the contract if it doesn't work out. Debra Miller asked who the fire department uses for auditing. Manager St. Clair said she does not know because she has not received the financial audit for last year yet. Adam Gochnauer said the fees in the contract for Bertz & Hess are not capped and any little thing could end up eating whatever small savings there was. With White & Rudy when she contacts them throughout the year there is not an additional fee, it would be included in the price they gave. This is on the agenda under action items for tonight.

7. Aging trees at the swimming pool

In October, at a yearend meeting with HARC the aging trees were brought up by HARC. It was asked if we are going to do tree replacement or something else. Jeff Book would like any trees taken down to be replaced with trees or shade umbrellas and possibly add something for shade at the baby pool. There are a total of three trees that need to be taken down. Kevin Martin recommended taking down the three trees and putting two trees up in their place and then put one tree on the other side of the fence where the baby pool is. Cost of 15'x15' umbrellas is 10,696.46 and cost of 3 funbrellas 12-20' is 18,104.00. Another idea was an area near the shed could be used to put smaller umbrellas but only 3-4 people could fit under them. The cost of three shade trees is 4,800.00 but Roots will give us a discount on this and plant it. This needs to be decided soon, and trees would need to be planted before Spring. Borough staff would take care of cutting down the trees and grinding the stumps. John Schick asked if there was a fund for trees. Manager St. Clair said she budgeted 12,000.00 for trees. Council agreed to go with the tree replacement.

8. Account Payables 01/25/2024

Reviewed by Council.

Transferred 500,000.00 from Capital Reserve fund to the investment fund.

Transferred 250,000.00 from Water Reserve fund to the investment fund.

9. Review 4Q23 Financials

Manager St. Clair reviewed the 4Q 2023 Financials for Water, General and Trash with councilmembers.

10. Fire Company Financials – for review only

Josh Roberts asked if the Fire Co. submitted an audit for last year yet and asked if Council does require them to have an audit since they do receive a significant amount of tax money. Mr. Roberts asked when Council usually receives this. Manager St. Clair said we usually have this by now. She did reach out to them twice for this and will reach out to them again.

11. Misc. Items for Manager and Council

Mayor Malone: Spoke with Todd Roy w/ Little Conestoga River Club and they have set up a form to report dumping along Little Conestoga River. The form is on their website conestogariverclub.org

John Herr: Asked if the sidewalk will be repaired on Stevens. Manager St. Clair said the borough is going to be taking care of this and will bill the property owner.

Attended Association of Lancaster County Boroughs' dinner. There were many interesting speakers.

Will Sharp: Resident gave Kudos to the snow maintenance staff for doing their job of snow removal. She told him it was the first time in 20 years they plowed her street without covering up her driveway. It was Alex Keagy who was operating the plow.

John Schick: Asked if the hydrant at Miller and Main will be installed soon. Mr. Moseman said they are just waiting for the appropriate paperwork for the easement. The plan is to place the hydrant further away from the road to help prevent it from getting hit again. Also, asked if anything was heard back on Graystone Signal. Manager St. Clair said she did not hear anything yet.

III. ACTION ITEMS:

1. ***It was moved and seconded (A. Gochnauer / S. Valdez) with unanimous approval by the board, to approve October 2023 Committee Meeting Minutes.***
2. ***It was moved and seconded (A. Gochnauer / J. Schick) with unanimous approval by the board, to approve Resolution 889, to approve the Professional Services Agreement from Michael Bingham of SDE (Systems Design Engineering, Inc.) for the East Petersburg Community Park DCNR Grant Project.***
3. ***It was moved and seconded (A. Gochnauer / W. Sharp) with unanimous approval by the board, to approve Resolution 890, appointing White & Rudy as auditors for East Petersburg Borough for three year agreement with opt out agreement per Amy Leonard's review of the Agreement.***
4. Debra Miller asked what the retainage amount was for on the Telco, Inc. bill. John Schick said the bill is for them putting wire through the conduits and they are now waiting on equipment. When they submit for a certain amount, 10% gets held for retainage. When the project is completed and approved the retainage can be released.

It was moved and seconded (A. Gochnauer / R. Rannels) with a 6-0 approval by the board, to approve payment No. 1 to Telco, Inc. in the amount of \$5,381.90 for the Traffic Signal Improvements Project at 72/Miller and 72/Enterprise. John Schick abstained due to his employment with Rettew.

5. ***It was moved and seconded (S. Valdez / A. Gochnauer) with unanimous approval by the board, to approve Systems Design Engineering, Inc. to submit advertisement for bids for the footbridges for the Meadows Bio-Swale Project. Advertisement will be on January 31, 2024 and February 2, 2024.***

IV. EXTRA ITEMS: Issues arising in the last 24 hours: None.

V. ANNOUNCEMENTS:

- The next Borough Council meeting will be held on Tuesday, February 6, 2024 at 7:00 p.m.
- If a snow event happens and a snow emergency is declared, it will be posted on the website and WGAL.

Committee Meeting Adjournment: 7:31 p.m

Executive Session for legal and personnel matters: 7:40 p.m. - 8:27 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,
Kim Strayer, Recording Secretary

MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE MEETING
OF THE EAST PETERSBURG BOROUGH COUNCIL

DATE AND TIME:	February 22, 2024	6:00 p.m.
LOCATION:	Community Center	6051 Pine Street
ATTENDANCE:	Council Members:	Debra Miller, President (Absent) John Schick, Vice-President Adam Gochnauer, Pro Tem John Herr Randy Rannels William Sharp Sandra Valdez
	Mayor:	James Malone
	Borough Manager:	Karen St. Clair

Meeting was called to order by John Schick, Vice President of Borough Council: 6:00 p.m.

Moment of Silence / Pledge to Flag

Visitors: Jeff & Tammy Moseman, Megan & Jan Shank, James Swarr, Josh Roberts, Violet DeStefano, Jarod Carpenter

I. PUBLIC COMMENTS: None

II. AGENDA ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION ONLY:

1. Megan Shank: Special Event "Christmas in July"

Megan Shank said her business is to hold Maker Markets to support small businesses and hand-made businesses within the community. They focus on hand-made goods, non-profits, and student makers. They don't do direct sales or resale. It is not a flea market or yard sale. They only hold markets within Lancaster County. In 2023, they held 1 market every month and 2 in November. The attendance at the markets ranges from 1,000 – 4,000. She is interested in holding their 3rd annual "Christmas in July" Makers Market at the park. Ms. Shank reviewed the map for what they are proposing with council. Yesterday, she met with EMS and has worked with Manager St. Clair and Josh Roberts on this also. There will be 5 food trucks, music on the amphitheater, 4 porta potties (one of these will be handicap accessible), handicap parking, and vendors with mostly 10 x 10 tent structures. Vendors are required to have tents and be weighted with 35 lb. weights on each leg and use stakes on the grass area. The area behind the ball field will be used for vendor parking. Parking and navigation was reviewed with EMS. The area needed for the fire dept. to get their equipment out will have barriers, signage, and their team will manage this area. Fire police and their team will navigate parking, and grass will be marked. Manager St. Clair said public works requested barricades at three different areas: 1 or 2 at Ann St.; 1 at Park St.; 3 at Fire house on Pine St. The event is 10:00 – 4:00. Her team will be there Thursday evening and all day Friday. They will begin setting up between 5:00 and 6:00 a.m. on Saturday and should be finished cleaning up by 8:00 p.m. She will not be using the Community Center. Her costs include the Amphitheater rental, fire police, and regular police. There will be a contract with each. Josh Roberts said there will be a minimum of 2 fire police possibly 3. The emergency plan seems to be in place, and they had things well organized. The canopies are weighted and staked so wind should not be an issue. Ms. Shank said the event will be heavily marketed on social media. The market will have a variety of vendors and they will be strategically placed to keep it fair for everyone selling products. They are encouraged to have liability insurance, but she does not require it unless the area she is in requires it. She hopes to continue to have markets in the borough. She would like to put a 6' – 8' vinyl banner on the fencing of the tennis court to promote within the park. She would also like to put a vinyl 6' – 8' banner in the park on the trees facing State St. to promote toward local traffic. They would like to place yard signs at local businesses and at homes of people involved that live in the borough. Manager St. Clair said she will reach out to the zoning officer and get back to her tomorrow about the signs. This event will be put on the March 5th agenda for a vote.

2. Karen: ARPA update from Lancaster County Commissioners Office

Manager St. Clair said Jeff Moseman worked on submitting grants for three projects last August. Of the three projects he submitted for grants, we will be receiving Lancaster County ARPA funds for two of them this year: (1)Koser Road Spring Pump Project-total cost \$245,300.00, they are giving us \$122,650.00 (2)City Interconnect Pump Replacement Project- total cost is \$241,390.00, they are giving us \$120,695.00. Manager St. Clair and Jeff Moseman attended the meeting yesterday and they were very appreciative, and they thanked the county commissioners. Commissioner Parson said he would like to present us with a big check in person.

Manager St. Clair said out of the \$472,920.00 of the Boroughs ARPA funds we received last year, we used \$171,541.00 for building renovations. We have a balance of \$301,379.00. Now that we will receive the Lancaster County ARPA funds, the remaining funds can be used for other projects, such as the foot bridges and bioswale. The bid for the foot bridges closed today and came in in at \$143,000.00 for Pine wood. If we went with composite, it would be an extra \$20,000.00. We will receive \$40,000.00 from Lanc. County Conservatory and if we go with the \$143,000.00 foot bridge, it leaves us with \$103,000.00. There was \$150,000.00 budgeted for this.

There was 195,300.00 budgeted from water reserve for the Koser Road Spring Pump project and our cost after the grant is 122,650.00.

We budgeted 126,095.00 from water reserve for the City Interconnect and our cost after the grant is 120,695.00. Our total project costs came to \$346,345.00, minus the ARPA funds we have available, we will now only have a net deficit of \$45,000.00 coming from water reserve.

Manager St. Clair thanked Jeff Moseman for all the work he did on the grants and pointed out that it is saving the taxpayers a lot of money.

Council asked what the warranty is for the two different types of bridges. Jeff Moseman said the composite would be warranted from the manufacturer for 25 plus years, and the wood bridge does not have a warranty. John Herr said the extra \$20,000.00 for the composite might be worth it, if it lasts longer. John Schick said wood can warp and will need maintenance, and pointed out that the quality of wood is not as good as it used to be, so it might not last as long as the composite. If we go with the composite, it is an extra \$22,650.00 to the bid for a total of \$166,033.00, minus the \$40,000.00 we would get. John Herr said under the circumstances, we have a favorable situation. Adam Gochnauer pointed out it is a flood plain in that area so it could end up sitting in water.

3. Karen: Pickleball Court Update

Manager St. Clair said at the last meeting it was pointed out that we did not get the grant and council suggested HARC be contacted to find out if they have a need for this. Jeff Moseman did reach out to Jeff Book and was told HARC has four outdoor tennis courts that can be transformed into pickleball courts, and they are planning to add lines to these courts for pickleball. Mr. Book told him that a lot of their revenue is generated by their indoor courts. He was told the interest that they have is if a court would be available for a tournament. Adam Gochnauer said HARC is using the indoor courts for pickleball, and it is not great. They are looking to do a mixer next month and that would be an opportunity for us. If pickleball lines are put on tennis courts they cannot be used for tournaments. Mr. Moseman contacted the Brenaman Company and Lancaster Asphalt for quotes. If he has it ready for March 5, the quotes can be looked at then for the pickleball courts. Manager St. Clair said we want to keep this moving. Adam Gochnauer asked if lighting is being looked at? Mr. Moseman said the lights at the tennis courts still work but are antiquated and might need looked at.

4. Randy: EP-Landisville Lions Club: Replace the Lions Club logo on Borough sign.

Randy Rannels said the East Petersburg/ Landisville Lions Club would like to replace their club sign on the borough sign on State Street near the RR tracks. He shared a picture of the sign with council. He said the Rotary Club sign is still on there and no longer exists, so that one could be removed, and possibly the GFWC sign. After they receive the approval they will order the sign and pay for it.

Mr. Rannels said the EP/Landisville Lions Club would also like to make a donation of a 20' x 20' ladder engine playset to put at the park. It is made of steel and composite, and the ladder is monkey bars. The EP/Landisville Lions Club will make a contribution towards the fire truck and there is two other Lions Club Foundations in the state that will put this on a grant toward purchase. Mr. Rannels met with Manger St. Clair, Jeff Moseman and Kevin Martin about this and discussed installation and ground cover options. Pour in place requires much less maintenance so they will probably go with this. After the fire truck is paid for and installed it will be turned over to the borough for maintenance. KWLE Realty and Lancaster Nissan have given money towards the fire truck and plaques will be displayed for these two donors and any others who donate toward this. Manager St. Clair did reach out to DCNR re: the grant for park upgrades and she was told this will not affect the grant in any way.

5. **EMC: Independent Contractor Agreement**

Council interviewed two different candidates for the position of EMC Coordinator. Violet De Stefano was selected to fill the position. Amy Leonard prepared an Agreement for this position. This will be put on the March 5th agenda to appoint her.

6. **Account Payables 02/22/2024**: None.

7. **Fire Company Financials – for review only**

8. **Misc. Items for Manager and Council**
Manager St. Clair:

The information to sign up for Lancaster County Emergency Alerts has been posted on the website.

Update on Rt. 72 and Graystone Road - There were concerns for the mast arm on the southwest arm that it should be farther back than the design showed. They are taking these concerns into consideration, and they will redesign this with the mast arm farther back. They are hoping to put this out to bid mid-December.

Update on traffic signal at 72/Miller and 72/Enterprise: The equipment should be here tomorrow or Monday.

The 2024 pool rates are out. If you get your membership prior to May 1st: single \$195.00; families \$315.00. Day passes will be increased from \$10.00 to \$15.00. The snack bar will be open this year. The cover will be removed mid-April. John Schick pointed out that Manheim will not be opening their pool this year, so we could see an increase in people attending the pool.

Mayor Malone:

The drug task force is asking for \$4,573.00 for 2024. Manager St. Clair said we did make the payment to them last year and it was budgeted again for 2024.

John Herr said the newspaper did an article about hazards at railroad crossings. He asked if there are hazardous materials transported on our railroads. Violet De Stefano said she thinks it is primarily agricultural materials on our railroads, but she will look into this.

Deb Miller was not in attendance but asked for the following misc. items to be addressed on her behalf:

Mayor Malone, John Herr and Deb Miller all attended the New Holland Fire Co. training for elected officials and volunteer firefighters on 2/15/24. Members of the EP Fire Co. were present also. They had a discussion on the importance of developing relationships between the two and the importance of the fire companies to develop a strategic plan.

Deb Miller does not agree with Jeff Book. She sees opportunities for HARC to make money on court fees by using the HARC app. She gave examples on this – free to residents, non-residents would pay a fee. There would be court reservations required to ensure residents have a chance to play. Signs could be posted stating overuse of non-residents use control, on the courts.

III. **ACTION ITEMS:**

1. *It was moved and seconded (S. Valdez / R. Rannels) with unanimous approval by the board, to approve January 2024 Committee Meeting Minutes.*

IV. **EXTRA ITEMS: Issues arising in the last 24 hours:** None.

V. **ANNOUNCEMENTS:**

- The next Borough Council meeting will be held on Tuesday, March 5, 2024 at 7:00 p.m.
- Lion's Club Spaghetti Dinner will be held Saturday, February 24 at EP Mennonite Church 4:00 – 6:00 p.m. Tickets will be available at the door.

Committee Meeting Adjournment: 7:13 p.m.

Executive Session for legal matters: 7:19 p.m. – 7:40 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,
Kim Strayer, Recording Secretary

MINUTES OF THE COMMITTEE MEETING
OF THE EAST PETERSBURG BOROUGH COUNCIL

DATE AND TIME:	March 28, 2024	6:00 p.m.
LOCATION:	Community Center	6051 Pine Street
ATTENDANCE:	Council Members:	Debra Miller, President John Schick, Vice-President (Absent) Adam Gochnauer, Pro Tem John Herr Randy Rannels William Sharp Sandra Valdez
	Mayor:	James Malone
	Borough Manager:	Karen St. Clair

Meeting was called to order by Debra Miller, President of Borough Council: 6:00 p.m.

Moment of Silence / Pledge to Flag

Visitors: Karyl Carmignani, Tammy & Jeff Moseman, James Swarr, Don Schoenberger, Samuel Maurer V, Jay Parrish, Mary Armstrong

I. PUBLIC COMMENTS:

Tammy Moseman said: Everyone knows what's going on with the Library and I have spent time processing my thoughts and concerns on this and I am not here to pass judgement on this. Each is of their own. I am deeply concerned that taxpayer dollars are supporting a library that is having drag queens read and interact with our precious vulnerable children. When the library representative came here she asked for money and an increase in the money, but there was no mention of this type of function occurring. I understand we can choose not to attend such events, but I can choose to voice my opinion as to where my tax dollars are being spent. In my opinion it is political, divisive, and morally wrong, and an inappropriate function to occur at a taxpayer funded library. I have no problem with the LGBTQ group, but I do have a problem with the drag queens reading to our young children and individuals making threats to our republican commissioners. I watched the last couple Lancaster Commissioners meeting and there were a high amount of threats made to them because of their concerns and I invite you all to watch it. It is online and you can watch it. It was deeply concerning the threats that they are receiving. She pointed out that children should not be exposed to an individual that made negative statements about children publicly. She continued to say: I watched East Hempfields Board meeting, and they made statements as well. One individual there said there were 2 Christian groups that asked to have venues there and they were denied. Per Lissa the executive director, she doesn't censor and that is a quote that she put online. But to me, they do censor because they are not offering a Christian venue. With that being said there are now safety concerns and a bomb threat and physical threats that are occurring to our commissioners and even to local communities. I feel you as councilmembers are fiscally responsible for our money and I personally do not want my money associated with a library that has a function that could possibly harm somebody. You don't know what's going to happen or what's going to occur. You're having bomb threats and physical threats to people and if you don't associate with these type of people who's going to be vulnerable. I feel as if our community and our children are at that state. You as councilmembers have to consider all for the community not just a select few of the community and I believe our tax dollars can be better utilized, not funding possible harm. I do not want to be associated with a function as a community funding harm. I personally don't want my name there and I am an East Petersburg resident so ultimately my name is there and that frightens me. I think we can use our taxpayer dollars better, elsewhere. I just think it's very sensitive political, and divisive and its inappropriate to have adult individuals dressed up as woman reading to our children, who does adult functions and children's functions. Do we know when the check is submitted; it's 3rd quarter? Manager St. Clair said yes, it is always around October. Tammy Moseman continued to say: We have time to think about this and discuss it. Do we want to add it to the agenda? I don't know how all this works, but I think we need to discuss this as a community. We need to put out there that we are contributing to a function that could be harmful and it's very scary to me that we are influencing our small children. I think it should be up to the parents to be able to do. If you want to go, go. I have no problem with that but do it in a private area not in a publicly funded facility. I read all the ins and outs of it on Facebook and WGAL. I watched EH Township meeting and they are thinking about withdrawing. I watched all the commissioners' meetings. I invite you to do all of that and get a

good perspective on your thoughts and what you think, but it scares me to death that we are accommodating to a select few with our children with our tax dollars. I just think you all need to consider discussing it this evening or putting it on the agenda for further discussion.

Jay Parrish said: There were a lot of comments just made about harm, threats, and violence. When I go to the library I don't see that. Libraries are extremely important to me and our society, and all aspects of society. It is critical for the basis of anybody's education. Where can you go if you are starting up a business; you can go to the library to get this information. If anything, we should be tripling, quadrupling, or giving ten times the amount we fund the library now. If you ever live in a community that actually funds a library, it is a wonderful community to be in. I have had the privilege of living in other states and libraries are wonderful places with fabulous programs for kids, and it's a delight. I don't think that anything that happened this week, in the terms of offering somebody who'd be reading, was political, divisive, or harmful, and none of that happened in terms of the people who are offering it. I went to college with a guy who was a head of our University Christian Fellowship, and he was a wonderful guy. It turns out now he is a drag queen and a devout Christian, and unfortunately has cancer right now. Unless you know somebody, and say I knew them, I've know them; you know they are human beings. I would strongly urge you to drastically increase the amount of funding for the library. If you look at the amount of funding for Chester County, our municipalities give half as much as they do. We are way down on the list of supporters. It's personally painful for me because I know Ray D'Agostino, having worked with him for many years. It really hurts me to hear him say the things he does because I know he wasn't that person a few years ago and he has become much more prone to things he never would have noticed before.

Tammy Moseman asked Jay Parrish if he watched the commissioners meeting with the threats.

Jay Parrish said: I did not watch it. I actually worked in county government and knew the previous commissioners. I also worked in state government and experienced a public that might be angry about things, threats, and violence, but never have I seen a local politician use their power to do something that would incite a violent reaction like these commissioners did. In 30 years, I never saw this.

Tammy Moseman said: I appreciate what you're saying, and I agree, a library is a most important place for everyone to go, but when there is threats being made, it was a bomb threat. There is no conclusion where it came from because the e-mails are being investigated. This is a problem; a safety issue.

Mary Armstrong said: I have lived in East Pete since 1980 and I am very involved in the Lancaster Public Library. I have been the chairperson of the big book sale for the past six years and have been involved for the past 10 years. Lancaster County has the lowest support of the library system in the whole state. I understand everybody's concern. Lissa Holland is the executive director of the library, and she is one of my many friends at the library, and they are all scared to death at work. The important thing is we need to keep up funding for libraries, all the libraries. I did some research and East Petersburg Borough funds less than \$1.00 for each resident for the library. To me that is pitiful. 37% of the budget comes from the municipalities, the rest is raised by people like me who are working very hard to keep the library open. They need to be inclusive of everybody too, not just certain groups. I know it wasn't political or religious and had nothing to do with that. It was a non-profit group who wanted to use the facility. They are perfectly acceptable to do that. When you talk about non-profit groups and how we support them, not just East Petersburg Borough, but the whole state, all the churches are in a tax-exempt position, so really my taxes that I pay are helping to support the churches. If they would pay property taxes like the rest of us do, then my taxes wouldn't be so high. That's all I want to say. Thank you.

James Swarr said: I just want it to be put on record that Councilmember Sharp, Councilmember Herr and Councilmember Miller gave the last two speakers their undivided attention, but when Tammy Moseman was speaking, one was cleaning their glasses, one was shuffling papers, and the other was doing something else.

Adam Gochnauer said: We should probably address the concerns now.

Debra Miller said: We might want to take a look at the meeting room policy, and the American Library Bill of Rights, which is why I was shuffling through papers. We might want to learn more from the director of Lancaster Public Library to get some facts before we discuss something we don't have facts on. She would like everyone to do some research and put this on the agenda for April.

Adam Gochnauer said: It's not a legal issue or contractual issue and everyone probably has an opinion about it now. I am not sure what we can gather to make concerns better. I don't think it's a legal matter, it's more of a moral thing whatever side you're on and if we wish to fund them, or not, or increase it in some cases. I value opinions of the other

March 28, 2024

councilmembers. I don't think waiting a month will change anybody's opinion on it. I don't think it was a question of if they have a right to do this, but whether it was a smart decision or not. Therefore, funding should be addressed by it.

Mayor Malone said: There were miscommunications presented equating non-binary presentations as harmful relating to the bomb threat, whether that was a cause or not. If we waited to give authorities a chance to actually work through the threats to find out who made those threats; where they came from, why they were made. We could then actually address those fears in a confident manner rather than throwing out our own opinions.

Adam Gochbauer said: The bomb threats are not from the library staff. That's nothing to do with this. The people who have spoken about the library funding were asking what our opinion is and what we should do with library funding about the event they were part of. Our specific question we were asked to debate is what do we do with library funding? Should we continue funding? It was not who threatened to bomb them; that's for the police and legal.

William Sharp said: We are already paying well below what we should be paying at \$0.72 per capita. That is not sufficient to help to fund a public library that provides exceptional benefits to this community. They provide a great deal of opportunities for people to have a safe environment in which to work. They can go in there and study. It's a safe place for children. It provides information and resources and one event that you disagree with should not negate the fact of all the benefits that they provide. Yes, you are entitled to your opinion and thoughts and whether it should occur. However, that is not the end all, be all, as to what a library is for.

Tammy Moseman said: Her thing is safety.

William Sharp said: The people holding the event would not have made a bomb threat. We have no control over how other people react and what they do. If you are referring to the bomb threat, the people holding the event did not do that. They would not do that. If you are talking about what other people do, we have no control over that. We cannot control how people are going to react over events that are held. What we can control is our action and whether or not we support an organization which provides us with benefits.

Tammy Moseman said: I agree with supporting them, absolutely no doubt in my mind. I never had an issue with that. What I have an issue with now is safety. I am not biased whatsoever. I have gay family members and friends. My concern is our money could possibly contribute to harm of children and families due to having an event in a public library that is funded by taxpayers. That's my concern.

William Sharp said: That is a far leap. That's a leap in logic. A leap in thoughts.

Tammy Moseman said: Don't degrade my thoughts.

William Sharp said: I'm not, what I am saying is the logic that you are speaking about that doesn't come to pass. We have multiple situations where people are committing violent acts with no justification, so you can't say that because someone has an event that is going to cause them to have this type of reaction. People are going to do what they are going to do, and we have no control over it.

Tammy Moseman said: There was a threat and it's called safety for our children and our families and our public and the community.

Mayor Malone asked: Would you block the meeting?

Tammy Moseman said: No, that's not my point. When you bring in a small group of people that are adults dressed up as drag queens reading God knows what to our children, now it becomes an issue. I don't have an issue with it. Other individuals do and now there is bomb threats and that is my issue.

Mayor Malone said: What if you have a bomb threat because someone chose a story of the Quran and there is a bomb threat.

Tammy Moseman said: That is precisely my point. I don't know and that's a problem when other people have a problem. I don't have a problem; I don't care what they do. My issue is the fact you have a small group of individuals that are drag queens that are reading to small children and other individuals have issues with it and now it's a safety issue. I don't want my taxpayer money funding something that is going to go ahead and possibly have harm on somebody.

Debra Miller said: What we are going to do is let the councilmembers speak.

Randy Rannels said: Mary raised a good point; we don't spend \$1.00 per person, and I agree that libraries are very important. I don't know a lot about the situation that happened with the library with the bomb threat and everything else. I do need to educate myself on that. I agree that it is not out of bounds for us to pay \$1.00 per resident for the library. That's all I have to say for now.

John Herr said: The first time I ran for borough council, I knocked on a lot of doors over a summer and a number of times I talked with kids in the 8-16 year old range. Their parents were off working. I said to them: what do you need here? What can this town do to make your life better? One thing they said was do better upkeep in the parks. The other thing they said was we need the library, and we need to be able to get to the library. They always mentioned the library. They didn't have an easy way to get to and from the library, but they did care. To make it short, I would double and triple what we give at least. If you start hurting the library you are hurting society in some really basic way.

Debra Miller said: We don't want an illiterate society. I had certain misconceptions relating to this event. When I was doing my research I found there is a Library Bill of Rights and there is a link to this on the Lancaster Public Library website. Two of the things on the Bill of Rights that stuck out to me was (1) A person's right to use the library should not be denied or abridged because of origin, age, background, or views. (2) Libraries which make exhibit spaces and meeting rooms available to the public they serve, should make such facilities available on an equitable basis, regardless on the beliefs or affiliations of individuals or groups requesting their use. That was new for me; I was not aware there was a Library Bill of Rights or that those things were highlighted. As far as the meeting room policy, the Lancaster Public Library allows qualified and approved individuals or organizations to use its meeting room only for approved educational and recreational activities which support the libraries' purpose. The library will not sponsor or subsidize commercial, religious, or political activities by outside organizations or individuals. I took note of that because religious and political activities by outside organizations or individuals on library premises, are prohibited. That's why we can't do political or religious activities in a library. It's right there in black and white. The library as an independent, not for profit cooperation, reserves the right to limit or deny use of its meeting facilities by group or individual organization if such use is deemed to be not in the best interest of the library. If you want to take it and flip it the other way, I understand a lot of people were upset it was a drag story hour, but if the director would have ruled against it and not approved their application to rent a room, she would have been discriminating against the drag story hour. You just have to flip it and look at it a different way. These are some of my takeaways.

Some of us toured the Lancaster Public Library last year before it was opened, and I had quite a few takeaways. They do a specialty lending; you can borrow an American Girl doll, outdoor games, board games, specialty baking pans. These items are available to be borrowed at the library. There are 11 rooms available for use. Rental fees start at \$25.00 per hour for study rooms and go as high as \$250.00 for a 2-hour use. They also have an autism resource center equipped with sensory space, sensory toys, games, puzzles, fidget toys, books, DVDs, and more. One of the largest check-outs they have per media is actually their DVD collection.

I am in favor of funding the library and raising it up to at least \$1.00 per person/per capita.

Adam Gochnauer said: Thank you Deb for holding this discussion tonight and thank you to councilmembers for talking. Without getting into personal beliefs, whether you think this event was right or wrong, I think you have to look at it as we do on the council; keeping our personal beliefs out of it, looking at what has happened and how it effects the library, did they make a good decision or bad decision. We could get into a discussion all night about personal beliefs on the whole incident. However, we are here because it was brought up by our residents to address library funding. First, with that being said, we already approved the funding for this year. As far as the increase, I don't think that is a discussion to have tonight. We already approved this in the Fall when Lissa Holland was here and discussed everything and we came to a consensus, and we agreed to an amount. I think no matter what, that amount is in stone. If we want to have this talk again in the Fall and Lissa Holland wants to come, I would encourage her to come before council in the Fall and we can talk to her. I would like to get it off the table immediately about an increase. We are done with the budget. As far as to other concerns on the other side about taking it off, again not getting into personal beliefs on anything. I think the library did something that they are going to look back on and regret what they did, because of what has happened. The turmoil it has created, and they are probably going to lose a lot of funding from municipalities because of this one incident. Agreed, not to say libraries aren't worth being funded but they made a mistake and I think they are going to pay for that in the long run. When you make a mistake you have to learn from that and whoever approved this is probably saying it sounded good initially, but it wasn't the right thing to do. I'm not saying left or right because people have called bomb threats, and I don't agree with any of that. It is not right and it's way political. We have to look at it as saying do we personally feel it was a mistake, do we keep funding or cut funding? To me, that is what we have to discuss tonight; cut it or keep it? Then we can have the discussion as far as any raise in the Fall. I think, myself talking, it was a mistake what they did; not to kids. Adults is one thing, but not to kids. I would say cut the funding and say this is not to the library but to the person who authorized

this made a mistake and we will not tolerate that to our youth. Then I would love to have Lissa Holland come here to discuss it in the Fall and we might learn more: who made that decision and is that going to continue? Maybe Mary Armstrong can answer if this was booked after she was here.

Mary Armstrong said: It was booked months ago, but I do not know the answer to that. I don't know when she was here. It would not have gotten to this point unless it was brought up in a public situation. It would not have exploded. It would have been like any other story hour. The people who wanted to bring their children would have went and it would have been all calm and nobody would have even known. But it was brought up in a public situation, and it exploded. I don't believe the staff of the library ever thought it would get to this point. That there would be bomb threats, etc.

Adam Gochnauer said: It's my opinion that it's a mistake, but I respect anyone that doesn't think it was. I am fine with that. We might agree to disagree on the funding, but I think it's an important thing. I think we probably learned that in the Fall to maybe ask a few more questions about what they are booking. I've never heard of this before and maybe it opened our eyes to the new world. That is what I would like this council to decide on record tonight. Maintain funding or cut funding, and then in the Fall let's have a discussion for everyone that says about raising it. I think that is the time to do it.

Sandra Valdez said: I think that everyone who has provided their opinions and concerns; they are all valid. Everyone has their freedom of speech and is able to say how they feel. For the incident, unfortunately I cannot comment as to the threat or what was in the news because I was with my grandkids. However, I did hear on the news it was going to happen, but beyond that I can't necessarily comment on that. What I would say is whether it was something to do with what Mary Armstrong indicated that if it never would have been public it might have occurred under the radar. As a social service person who works in the community, I try to be equitable and diverse and inclusive to everyone. I don't think our children should be harmed in the sense of not having the necessary tools in the library to be able to do their research, their studies, or to be able to do whatever it is that they need in public that they cannot do at home. Working in the city there are a lot of families there, and even in East Pete, who cannot afford technology at home and cannot afford to have these things that their children will need to access from a library if they cannot get it from a school setting. To Adams statement: When you talk about cutting funding are you talking about cutting what was approved or meaning in the Fall.

Adam Gochnauer said: What I am saying is we approved the budget for this year, so we have essentially one choice do we continue with it or cut it? Later on, would be: a lot of our council people and a couple residents said do we increase it and that's a discussion for the Fall. We approved a set amount this year. What has come up is do we continue to give that amount, or do we stop giving that amount?

Sandra Valdez said: I believe we should continue to fund it. We should not cut the funding. I think it's a valid statement to invite Lissa Holland to come in and if there are additional questions that councilmembers needs to ask to determine whether or not it be increased to \$1.00 per capita than I think that's an additional conversation we can have, but I do not believe it should be cut.

Debra Miller said: I think we should continue funding.

William Sharp said: What I was trying to say was I spent a considerable amount of time in foreign countries where people do not have any rights. They don't have first amendment rights. They don't have any rights to get information, to get knowledge, to have an opportunity to get an education. Yet here we have in our country been blessed with the opportunity to have education, have resources, to have all of these things that the library provides, and that is expensive. We should as citizens, provide for them to ensure that our children are safe, our children are educated, and have the ability to do that which they want to do. So, I believe we should maintain what we have already promised, since we already promised it. Then moving forward, we should look at if we want to increase and I believe that we should because of the benefits that are provided by the library.

John Herr said: Definitely keep it.

Randy Rannels said: Keep it.

William Sharp said: Keep it.

James Malone said: Keep the funding. I grew up in a very closed, tight community that had some very negative elements that ran around claiming to be Christian, so I often have a back pedal first approach when something looks dangerous, but I know it's not. I have taken courses with the FBI and have learned not to trip out about different groups. I also know that having a heavier and longer exposure to differences increases that understanding of diversity, whether its military, or

different religions, or whatever. Same with normality of dress and all that. I believe not only should we maintain funding, but I believe it's very sad that this particular event has gotten such a negative presentation on it, especially since it was come if you want to, because it is a chance for individuals to be able to present different experiences to their children to be able to provide them with a broader experience of life in general. I think everywhere we are lacking in that, especially in the United States.

II. AGENDA ITEMS FOR DISCUSSION ONLY:

1. Landowner Access Agreement:

Debra Miller said when she looked up 5260 Main Street it showed that the Hyundai dealership owns the large area of commercial parcel in this area. Manager St. Clair said this has already been discussed at previous meetings and pointed out that the Landowner Access Agreement just pertains to where the fire hydrant is at Miller and Main Street and the fact that it gets hit frequently. Scott Liggins talked to the property owner about relocating the hydrant. The Agreement will give us access to the hydrant at any time. It is being done just for this hydrant at this location.

2. NLCRPD Charter Update:

The Commissioners have not yet passed both agreements. They plan to approve the agreement at their April 11th meeting. After they make the approval it will come back to us for further discussion. It looks like somewhere between 14% - 15% will be our buy-in cost. We don't have to do a start-up cost because we already paid that in 2020. It will just be the buy-in cost. Some benefits of being in the charter are: we will have equal voting, we will be an equal voting member, we generate a percentage of ownership, we maintain costs associated with special investigations or special events. For us to join the charter we will have to change our workers' compensation carrier to Beacon. Beacon recommends us to create a safety committee which Manager St. Clair has taken steps to do. The savings with a safety committee will be 5% discount on our workers' compensation coverage. She met with the third party administrator with Beacon and talked about rates and to compare what we are paying through Amtrust now. Beacon came in at \$12,950.00 vs. what we are paying now through Amtrust \$14,500.00. This amount covers all employees. The other members of the charter are Warwick, Clay, and Penn. With all members using Beacon it will help offset costs especially for the police who have a lot of claims due to the difficult job they have. Debra Miller asked what the 14%-15% buy-in cost is. Manager St. Clair NLCRPD used the 22-23 audit to come up with the amount which is approx. \$130,000.00 and this is less than what was first talked about. Manager St. Clair will give an update at the next committee meeting. James Swarr asked if this changes the budget amount. Manager St. Clair said the budgeted amount of \$860,000.00 for 2024 remains the same. The cost will be much lower for 2025 if we join the charter. All members of the charter are locked in for 10 years. She will have these numbers for everyone after the commissioners vote on this.

3. Community Park Pickleball Court Project:

Manager St. Clair said two quotes were received through Costars: Brennaman Company came in at \$17,000.00 and Miller Sports came in at \$27,554.00. This is what we need to get pickle ball up and running. The lighting can come at a later time when it is decided on. The quote from the Brennaman Co. will cover layout, coating for 4 courts, posts and nets and removal of any objects if needed. They also said they will fill in the cracks at the tennis court. Manager St. Clair said her recommendation is to go with the Brennaman Company. Jeff Moseman said it might be beneficial to keep the post and nets to eliminate interference. This will be added to the Council meeting agenda for a vote.

Council discussed the quote for lighting: We currently have 3 sets of lights with 3 vending machines; one of the vending machines is broke and there are no lights at the back court where the pickle ball will be. Wiring could be added to light the back court. The amount of the quote was for \$4,345.00 and this is to get all three existing light systems up and running. LED bulbs will be used. The vending is there, but no way to operate them. Even though there is noise associated with pickleball, not having lights would limit playtime in the fall greatly. It was agreed to keep the coin system because if we use credit cards there are fees, and if we use a fab system money could only be loaded during office hours. This will be added to a future agenda for a vote.

4. Review Account Payables 03/28/2024

John Herr asked about the bill for Amy Leonard that was for a RTK. Manager St. Clair said this is currently a legal matter. He also asked about the PSA testing costs. Manger St. Clair said this was talked about at the January meeting by Scott Liggins.

5. **Misc. Items**

Manager St. Clair

S. Clyde Weaver would like to donate time to help clean up or do maintenance at the park. This was turned over to Jeff Moseman to help Coordinate.

The borough sign at the borough line near Chemgro needs replaced. She would like to have the signs well matched moving forward. The Historical Society and Lions club will be placed on the new sign.

Mayor Malone said the borough emblem will be lost with the new style sign, but he is fine with trading off for the tree if that's what everyone wants to do. It was pointed out that the old sign is falling apart and unsalvageable. It would be very expensive to replace this exact sign and it would not match what we have been using more recently.

6. **Fire Company Financials – for review only**

III. **ACTION ITEMS:**

1. *It was moved and seconded (S. Valdez /J. Herr) with unanimous approval by the board, to approve February 2024 Committee Meeting Minutes.*
2. *It was moved and seconded (A. Gochnauer /W. Sharp) with unanimous approval by the board, to approve the execution of the Landowner Access Authorization Agreement. This permission will allow East Petersburg Borough and its authorized agents to relocate a fire hydrant to the location, as shown in the attached exhibit.*
3. Debra Miller asked who drew this up. Manager St. Clair said it was the County, and it was reviewed by Amy Leonard.

It was moved and seconded (A. Gochnauer /S. Valdez) with unanimous approval by the board, to approve the Lancaster County ARPA Funding Agreement and the ARPA Certificate and Acknowledgement of Obligation Document for the (2) Water projects; The Koser Road Spring Pumps and the City Interconnect Pumps. This project is funded in part through an ARPA grant from Lancaster County Board of Commissioners.

IV. **EXTRA ITEMS: Issues arising in the last 24 hours: None.**

V. **ANNOUNCEMENTS:**

- The next Borough Council meeting will be held on Tuesday, April 2, 2024 at 7:00 p.m.
- The Annual Easter Egg Hunt will be held Saturday, March 30, 2024, at the Community Park at 11 a.m. sharp! Friday, March 29th there will be an Egg Hunt for children with special needs at the tennis Courts at the Community Park. Email the name of the child & their helper to EPspecialneedshunt@gmail.com
- The Borough office will be closed Friday, March 29, 2024, in observance of the Good Friday holiday.

Executive Session for legal matters: None

Committee Meeting Adjournment: 7:26 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,
Kim Strayer, Recording Secretary